Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,865	OHTAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuyen Q Tra	2873
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Tuyen Q Tra (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Harry I. Moatz (Applicant's representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 January 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant representative discussed with the examiner on feature "ramdom direction" of claim 1 which he thinks the prior art does not teach. Examiner suggest applicant amend the claim to clarify the feature of the invention and examiner will take it into consideration with a closed review by the time he receives a response from applicant. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims		
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•	•	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Tuyer	ature, if required
Attachment to a signed Office action.	⊏xammer svsign	ature, ii required

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 11.9(b)

Remus F. Fetea is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 11.9(b) as an employee of Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt PC to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt PC, and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt PC. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below:

(i) Remus F. Fetea ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Remus F. Fetea's employment with Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt PC ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Remus F. Fetea ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an H-1 visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: January 9, 2007

Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline